UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,046	11/20/2001	Frederic J. de Sauvage	P1405R1C1	1433
			EXAMINER	
GENENTECH, INC. 1 DNA WAY SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080			HOWARD, ZACHARY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	=		1646	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Non-Compliant	09/990,046	DE SAUVAGE ET AL:
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Zachary C. Howard	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address
The amendment document filed on <u>15 June 2007</u> is consrequirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amitem(s) is required.		
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A  1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:
<ul><li>2. Abstract:</li><li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37</li><li>B. Other</li></ul>	CFR 1.72.	
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing amended figures, without man</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	FR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimin	ated. Replacement drawings
<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include th</li> <li>☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following s (Previously presented), (New), (Not en</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper had been continuation sheet.</li> </ul>	ne text of all pending claims (incluthe proper status identifier, and ate: the status of every claim mustatus identifiers: (Original), (Currettered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the individual status t be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), wn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or no	ot signed in accordance with 37 C	FR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required		714.
<ol> <li>TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC</li> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-corfiled after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>	npliant amendment is an after-fin	
<ol> <li>Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, wh correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued examendment filed within a suspension period under 3 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checonon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF</li> </ol>	the following: a preliminary amer xamination (RCE) under 37 CFR 7 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an am cked, the correction required is or	ndment, a non-final amendment 1.114), a supplemental endment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1 amendment or an amendment filed in response to		amendment is a non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result Abandonment of the application if the non-corfiled in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliamendment.	npliant amendment is a non-final	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable		e No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: 37 CFR 1.121(c) states "Amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting the entire claim with all changes (e.g., additions and deletions) as indicated in this subsection" and "All claims being currently amended in an amendment paper shall be presented in the claim listing, indicate a status of "currently amended," and be submitted with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters".

In the instant application, the amended claims submitted 6/15/07 do not include markings that indicate all of the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims. Specifically, each of claims 29, 39 and 49 do not indicate all of the changes between the version submitted 6/15/07 and the prior version of the claims submitted 10/4/06.

With respect to claim 29, the amended claim does not indicate the following changes:

- (1) In the 10/4/06 version, the word "patched-2" was twice italicized. However, in the 6/15/07 version the word is not italicized in either location, and there are no markings to indicate this amendment.
- (2) In the 10/4/06 version, the recitation of "(1)" was deleted following the word "polypeptide" in line 2. However, in the 6/15/07 version, line 2 recites "polypeptide (1)" and there are no marking to indicate this amendment.
- (3) In the 10/4/06 version, the recitation of "from 1 to 5 conservatively substituted, added or deleted amino acid residues, and (2) which" was deleted from line 3. However, in the 6/15/07 version, line 3 includes this phrase, and there are no markings to indicate this amendment.

With respect to claim 39, the amended claim does not indicate the following changes:

- (1) In the 10/4/06 version, the word "patched-2" was twice italicized. However, in the 6/15/07 version the word is not italicized in either location, and there are no markings to indicate this amendment.
- (2) In the 10/4/06 version, the recitation of "(1)" was deleted following the word "polypeptide" in line 2. However, in the 6/15/07 version, line 2 recites "polypeptide (1)" and there are no marking to indicate this amendment.
- (3) In the 10/4/06 version, the recitation of "from 1 to 5 conservatively substituted, added or deleted amino acid residues, and (2) which" was deleted from line 3. However, in the 6/15/07 version, line 3 includes this phrase, and there are no markings to indicate this amendment.

With respect to claim 49, the amended claim does indicate the following change:

(1) In the 10/4/06 version, the word "patched-2" was italicized. However, in the 6/15/07 version the word is not italicized in either location, and there are no markings to indicate this amendment.

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elijabet C. Kemmen